1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants, Counter Claimants and Third Party Claimants ROBERT E. ESTUPINIAN,

GINNY ESTUPINIAN and MUTUAL VISION, LLC, and Defendants and Third Party

Claimants MILLENNIUM REALTY GROUP, VESTA REVERSE 100, LLC, VESTA
CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC, EDMUNDO ESTUPINIAN, and HAYDEE ESTUPINIAN
submit this Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Plaintiff/Counter Defendant
Vesta Strategies, LLC's Motion To Dismiss and Third Party Defendant John Terzakis' Special
Motion To Strike Pursuant to the California Anti-Slapp Statute and for Attorney's Fees and
Costs, and to Third-Party Defendant John Terzakis', Single Site Solutions Corporations, and
B&B Sparco Properties, Inc.'s Motion To Dismiss or Strike.

**ARGUMENT** 

Plaintiff and Third-Party Defendants Motions Are Moot And Should Be Taken Off-Calendar.

Plaintiff and Third-Party Defendants' motion is moot and should be taken off calendar since Defendants, Counter claimants and Third Party Claimants have filed an amended pleading. Consequently, the issues raised in connection with the 12b(6) motions are no longer at issue. Each party has the right to amend its pleadings once "as a matter of course" (without prior leave of court) within a brief period after its original pleading is filed. FRCP 15(a); *Outdoor Systems*, *Inc. v. City of Mesa* (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993) 997 F2d 604, 613. Likewise, third party complaints under FRCP 14 may be amended as a matter of right any time before a responsive pleading is served. Motions under Rule 12 (including motions to dismiss and motions to strike) are not "responsive pleadings" and therefore filing such motion does not bar plaintiff from thereafter amending the complaint once as a matter of right. *Crum v. Circus Circus Enterprises* (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000) 231 F3d 1129, 1130.

In this case, the respective Defendants, Counter claimants and Third Party Claimants filed and served their amended counterclaim and third party claims on April 9, 2008. Unless the court orders otherwise, the respective parties are required to respond to an amended pleading within the time remaining to respond to the original pleading or within 10 days after service of the amended pleading, whichever is later. FRCP 15(a)(3).

28 ||///

///

1	CONCLUSION					
2	As a result of the amended pleadings, Plaintiff and Third-Party Defendants' motions are					
3	moot, and the hearing date should be taken off calendar.					
4		1.11.2000	DAN	DVOV OUDEA A TOOLLATION LLD		
5	Date: April	111, 2008	KAN	DICK O'DEA & TOOLIATOS, LLP		
6			D			
7			By:	/s/ Kevin R. Martin		
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28					3	
	i i				-	